

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,474	11/13/2003	Ihab M. Hekal	62357.017508	3231
32361 GREENBERG	7590 08/16/2007 TRAURIG, LLP		EXAMINER	
MET LIFE BU	JILDING		MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E	
200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
				DEL WEDVA (ODD
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/714,474	HEKAL, IHAB M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-36,43-45 and 55-57 is/are pending	4) Claim(s) <u>1-36,43-45 and 55-57</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-45 and 55-57</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>43-45 and 55-57</u> is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• .				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/6/05</u> .	6)	•			

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 43-45 and 55-57 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. Because the claims have been amended, a supplemental declaration must be filed.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

See MPEP § 1414.01.

Applicant is notified that any subsequent amendment to the specification and/or claims must comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b). In addition, when any substantive amendment is filed in the reissue application, which amendment otherwise places the reissue application in condition for allowance, a supplemental oath/declaration will be required. See MPEP § 1414.01.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references cited during prosecution of the parent must be cited in a reissue application. It is believed by examiner that this is more easily done by Applicant's filing an IDS than by examiner completing PTO Form 892, since most of the references in the parent were already cited on an IDS.

Art Unit: 1732

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed June 6, 2005 proposes amendments to claims 43-45 and 55-57 that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. The instant amendment was not made in reference to the patent. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-36 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an article of manufacture comprising a monolithic composition formed by combining a water-insoluble polymer, a hydrophilic agent, and a releasing agent, where the composition comprises at least three phases and has interconnecting channels with the releasing agent in or adjacent to the channels.
- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Suzanne E. McDowell/ Suzanne E. McDowell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732